

Physical Health Complaints Among Lesbians, Gay Men, and Bisexual and Homosexually Experienced Heterosexual Individuals: Results from the California Quality of Life Survey

Susan D. Cochran, PhD, MS, and Vickie M. Mays, PhD, MSPH

Although it is well-known that men who have sex with men are at a higher risk for contracting HIV¹ and other sexual transmitted infections (STIs),² accumulating evidence shows that minority sexual orientation may also be an underrecognized risk factor for psychiatric morbidity,^{3–13} alcohol^{14–18} and illicit drug use,^{19–21} cigarette smoking,^{15,22} and problems with health care use.^{22–24} This has led to speculation that, compared with exclusively heterosexual individuals, lesbians, gay men, and bisexual and homosexually experienced heterosexual men and women may also experience unrecognized disparities in general physical health that go beyond the conditions specifically associated with STIs among homosexually active men.²⁵ These disparities may include a higher risk for cardiovascular disease,^{22,23,26} lung cancer as a possible consequence of higher rates of smoking,^{15,27–30} and a higher risk for diabetes among lesbians and bisexual women.^{31,32} Indeed, a recent survey of Dutch adults found that those adults who reported predominant or exclusive same-sex preferences were more likely to report a number of health conditions compared with other respondents.³³

One difficulty with estimating physical health disparities that are associated with sexual orientation lies in the dearth of population-based surveys that have measured both sexual orientation and markers of physical health, including HIV infection status. The Dutch study,³³ for example, did not measure HIV status, which is a critical factor for understanding health complaints by gay men. A second concern is that lesbians, gay men, and bisexual and homosexually experienced heterosexuals have higher levels of psychological distress,^{6,10,34} which has been associated with more frequent reporting of some chronic conditions, health limitations, and poorer physical health status.^{35–37} Generally, psychological distress is both a consequence of physical

Objectives. We examined evidence that minority sexual orientation is associated with more frequent reports of physical health complaints. We also investigated the possible role of HIV infection among gay men and higher rates of psychological distress among lesbians, gay men, and bisexual and homosexually experienced heterosexual individuals in generating these health disparities.

Methods. We used data from the California Quality of Life Survey (N=2272 adults) to examine associations between sexual orientation and self-reports about physical health status, common health conditions, disabilities, and psychological distress.

Results. Prevalent HIV infection was reported by nearly 18% of gay, bisexual, and homosexually experienced heterosexual men. Gay men and bisexual and homosexually experienced heterosexual individuals had higher levels of psychological distress compared with exclusively heterosexual individuals. Self-reported physical health status varied by gender and by sexual orientation.

Conclusions. Lesbians and bisexual and homosexually experienced heterosexual women reported a greater variety of health conditions and limitations compared with exclusively heterosexual women; however, these differences mostly disappeared when distress levels were taken into account. Among men, differences in health complaints appeared to reflect the ongoing burden of HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases in the gay male community. (*Am J Public Health.* 2007;97:XXX–XXX. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2006.087254)

illness and a direct predictor of self-perceived physical health status³⁸; however, the patterns of causal relationships among distress, chronic conditions, and self-perceived physical health are complex and may at times be recursive. For example, there is good evidence that psychiatric morbidity, including subthreshold mental disorders,³⁹ inflates reports of somatic complaints and physical disability among individuals with similar levels of physical illness.^{38,40}

Furthermore, prospective studies have identified psychological distress as a precursor for incident pain conditions^{41,42} and preexisting depression as a risk factor for disability incidence.⁴³ Thus, associations between sexual orientation and reports of chronic health conditions—particularly pain, disability, and poor overall physical health—may in fact reflect both direct effects of factors associated with sexual orientation and confounding from preexisting levels of psychological distress. This is relevant because previous studies have

shown both higher levels of perceived social discrimination among lesbians, gay men, and bisexual individuals compared with heterosexual individuals⁴⁴ and a strong positive association between perceptions of discrimination and psychological morbidity.^{44,45}

To bring clarity to these issues, we examined the associations between sexual orientation, reports of common physical health complaints, and perceptions of physical health status with data from the California Quality of Life Survey, a population-based health survey of adult Californians that was conducted in late 2004 and early 2005. This survey oversampled individuals who were likely to be lesbian, gay, or bisexual, and it measured both sexual orientation identity and genders of sexual partners since age 18 years. We hypothesized that individuals with minority sexual orientation (defined both in terms of identity and sexual behavior) would have higher levels of psychological distress compared with exclusively heterosexual men and

women. We also hypothesized that prevalent HIV infection would be more common among men who were likely to have had sex with men compared with exclusively heterosexual men. Furthermore, we anticipated that individuals with minority sexual orientation would show a greater burden of physical health complaints and a greater impairment in physical health, much like the Dutch study.³³ However, we hypothesized that 2 factors—higher rates of psychological distress and, among gay and bisexual men, possible concurrent HIV infection—would account for any differences in the patterns of health problems we observed.

METHODS

Data Source

The California Quality of Life Survey is a follow-back to the 2003 California Health Interview Survey (CHIS), a stratified multistage random-digit telephone health surveillance interview of more than 42 000 adults aged 18 years and older. Follow-back surveys use the original survey sample (in this instance the CHIS) as a sampling frame from which to draw a subsample of interest in order to obtain more detailed information about a specific topic. The overall CHIS response rate was 38% (using the American Association for Public Opinion Research Response Rate 4 method⁴⁶), which was consistent with other recent random-digit telephone interviews.^{15,47,48} In the CHIS, all adult respondents aged 18 to 70 years were asked about the genders of their sexual partners during the past year, and those aged 18 years and older (with no age limit) were asked about their sexual orientation identity. Seventy-six percent of respondents were willing to participate in additional health surveys; from the CHIS sample, 4165 individuals were selected by probability methods. Eligibility was determined by having completed either an English or Spanish CHIS interview, a willingness to be recontacted, and an overselection for sexual orientation minority status. Of these, 2322 individuals were successfully interviewed between October 2004 and February 2005 (56% response rate using the American Association for Public Opinion Research Response Rate 1 method⁴⁶).

For our study, we used data from 2272 individuals who were aged 18 to 70 years at the time of the CHIS interview and who had been questioned about sexual orientation identity and recent sexual partners during that interview.

Quality of Life Survey Measures

Indicators of general physical health and disability. The interview assessed several indicators of overall physical health and disability, including the physical health component from the Short Form-12 Health Survey (SF-12).⁴⁹ From this we coded 2 variables: overall ratings of physical health (poor or fair vs good, very good, or excellent) and whether the individual scored below the normed median (summary score < 50) on the SF-12 Physical Component Scale. Three additional questions asked whether the respondent currently (1) had a major physical disability, such as the loss of a limb; (2) had a functionally limiting health problem or disability; or (3) received disability income.

Reports of chronic physical conditions. All respondents were asked if they had ever tested positive for an HIV infection. Additional questions asked about the presence of 14 health conditions, including symptomatic HIV-related illness. With the exception of HIV-related illness, the reported conditions were summed to provide a total count of current conditions.

Psychological distress. The interview included the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale, a 10-item instrument that measures nonspecific psychological distress.⁵⁰ It was developed as a screening tool for detecting the presence of serious mental illness in general population surveys and is scored on a 0- to 40-point scale.

Sexual orientation. Both identity and behavioral dimensions of sexual orientation were assessed. Behavioral questions asked about the genders of sexual partners since age 18 years and during the past year. Individuals were asked about their sexual orientation identity; we classified respondents into 1 of 4 categories: lesbian or gay identity, bisexual identity, a positive adult lifetime history of same-gender sexual partners with a current heterosexual identity (homosexually experienced heterosexual), and heterosexual

identity with no history of same-gender sexual partners (exclusively heterosexual).

Individual characteristics. Several demographic characteristics were measured, including gender, age, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, work status (in the labor force or not), nativity, family income, and current marital or cohabitation status. Cohabitation was defined in the interview as “living with a partner in a marriage-like relationship.” For analytic purposes, we combined married and cohabiting responses. Current health insurance status was assessed with several questions that asked whether respondents had private or government-sponsored health coverage from various sources. Those who reported health insurance from any source were coded as insured.

Statistical Analysis

Three sets of analyses were conducted using SAS 9.1 software⁵¹; trimmed weights (sample weights in which the outlier values have been limited in their size so as to limit their possible biasing effects on estimates) were adjusted for selection probability and survey nonresponse. We first evaluated possible demographic correlates (gender, age, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, work status, nativity, family income, and current marital or cohabitation status) of sexual orientation with logistic regression. We also examined possible differences in health insurance status while we controlled for these demographic factors. Next, we hypothesized that sexual orientation was a direct risk indicator for health conditions, physical health status, and disability, as suggested by Sandfort et al.³³

We used multivariate logistic or linear regression methods, as appropriate, to estimate associations between sexual orientation and indicators of physical health adjusting for possible demographic confounding because of age, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, relationship status, nativity, and family income.^{3-6,9,10,14,44,52} All of these analyses were conducted separately by gender because of the consistent gender disparity in risk for HIV infection among lesbians, gay men, and bisexual individuals.⁵³ We then treated psychological distress as an additional confounder to the demographic confounders. For distress to confound associations, we had

to assume that distress had a causal role in generating some medical conditions and that distress itself was not generated substantially by ill health.⁵⁴ Log transformations of both the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale score and the number of chronic conditions were used in our analyses; for clarity, we present only the raw scores.

For some rarely reported conditions such as recently diagnosed cancer, we dropped those groups in which reported prevalence was zero. We also conducted a parallel set of analyses that examined differences among men who did not report prevalent HIV infection while we controlled for either demographic confounding alone or in conjunction

with psychological distress levels. For all analyses, exponentiated logistic regression coefficients are in the form of odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Both weighted point estimates and their standard errors are presented; the significance of study findings was evaluated at the $P < .05$ level.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

Twelve percent of the weighted sample of respondents reported a minority sexual orientation (lesbian, gay, bisexual, or having a positive adult history of same-gender sexual

partners), which reflected the oversampling design (Table 1). This differed significantly between men and women (14.0% vs 9.8%; OR=0.66; 95% CI=0.51, 0.86). Approximately 87% of homosexually experienced individuals who currently self-identified as being heterosexual reported their most recent homosexual experience occurred more than 1 year before the interview. Overall, minority sexual orientation was associated with higher levels of education (OR=1.38; 95% CI=1.23, 1.55), a nonmarried or cohabiting status (OR=2.42; 95% CI=1.87, 3.13), non-Hispanic White versus Hispanic race/ethnicity (OR=0.35; 95% CI=0.25, 0.50) or other race/ethnicity (OR=0.54; 95% CI=0.36, 0.80), US nativity (OR=2.43; 95% CI=1.72, 3.43), and higher family income (OR=1.38; 95% CI=1.05, 1.82). Insurance coverage did not differ by sexual orientation (OR=1.13; 95% CI=0.76, 1.67).

Health Condition Reports

More than half of the respondents reported at least 1 health condition other than an HIV-related illness (Table 2). Among women, homosexually experienced heterosexual women reported the greatest number of non-HIV-related health conditions (mean=1.6; SE=0.3) compared with bisexual women (mean=1.5; SE=0.2), lesbians (mean=1.4; SE=0.2), and exclusively heterosexual women (mean=1.2; SE=0.1). However, multivariate comparisons that adjusted for demographic confounding showed only bisexual women compared with exclusively heterosexual women reported significantly greater numbers of non-HIV/AIDS health conditions (adjusted $b=0.21$; $P=.01$). For individual health conditions, bisexual women were more likely than exclusively heterosexual women to report several health problems, including digestive complaints, back problems, and chronic fatigue syndrome (Table 3). Homosexually experienced heterosexual women were more likely than exclusively heterosexual women to report asthma and back problems. By contrast, lesbians were more likely than exclusively heterosexual women to report having arthritis.

Among men, homosexually experienced heterosexual men reported the most number of non-HIV/AIDS health conditions

TABLE 1—Weighted Sample Characteristics, by Sexual Orientation: California Quality of Life Survey, 2004–2005

Characteristics	Heterosexual (n = 1999)	Lesbian/Gay (n = 158)	Bisexual (n = 67)	Homosexually Experienced Heterosexual (n = 51)
Women, %*	52.9	32.2	67.4	51.2
Age, %				
18–29 y	21.6	7.6	25.7	8.1
30–39 y	22.9	21.4	26.8	34.3
40–49 y	21.9	34.7	25.3	37.8
50–59 y	19.8	25.1	15.0	17.6
60–72 y	13.8	11.2	7.2	2.2
Education, %*				
High School or less	38.4	18.1	26.3	37.3
Some college	27.4	26.6	26.9	35.4
College degree	18.8	27.1	25.4	15.5
Graduate school	15.4	28.2	21.4	11.8
Married/cohabiting, %*	66.2	43.9	38.2	61.0
Race/ethnicity, %*				
Non-Hispanic White	53.8	72.3	70.9	69.8
Hispanic	31.1	17.1	11.4	26.1
Other	15.1	10.6	17.7	4.0
Foreign nativity, %*	30.6	17.0	11.7	27.2
Family income below 300% of US poverty level, %*	37.5	25.0	34.1	44.6
Employed, %	69.0	72.1	74.2	66.4
Has health insurance, %	82.5	84.2	87.7	71.7
K-10 distress score, mean (SE)	5.6 (0.2)	6.5 (0.3)	9.0 (0.6)	9.4 (0.8)

Note. K-10 = 10-item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale. Unweighted sample size was 1617 exclusively heterosexual individuals, 422 lesbians or gay men, 179 bisexual men and women, and 58 individuals who reported sexual relations with a same-sex partner since age 18 years but considered themselves to be heterosexual. Where relevant, percentages were summed to 100%, except for rounding error.

* $P < .05$.

TABLE 2—Weighted Sample Prevalences of Self-Reported Health Conditions, Health Status, and Disability, by Gender and Sexual Orientation: California Quality of Life Survey, 2004–2005

Health markers	Women				Men			
	Heterosexual (n = 1058), % (SE)	Lesbian/Gay (n = 48), % (SE)	Bisexual (n = 38), % (SE)	Homosexually Experienced Heterosexual (n = 28), % (SE)	Heterosexual (n = 946), % (SE)	Gay (n = 101), % (SE)	Bisexual (n = 29), % (SE)	Homosexually Experienced Heterosexual (n = 23), % (SE)
Current conditions								
Heart disease	3.2 (0.6)	2.6 (1.5)	0.7 (0.7)	0.0	3.3 (0.6)	4.2 (1.3)	3.0 (2.8)	9.4 (6.6)
Hypertension	14.9 (1.2)	12.6 (3.1)	7.4 (2.7)	8.1 (4.7)	16.1 (1.4)	21.9 (3.1)	14.0 (6.1)	20.1 (8.8)
Cancer diagnosed within past 3 years ^a	2.0 (0.5)	1.9 (1.2)	0.0	0.0	1.1 (0.4)	0.8 (0.5)	0.0	4.3 (4.2)
Diabetes	6.9 (0.9)	2.2 (1.0)	1.3 (0.9)	0.0	8.3 (1.1)	6.7 (1.8)	5.2 (3.7)	11.2 (10.3)
Liver disease	1.0 (0.3)	1.8 (1.3)	1.0 (1.0)	0.0	0.9 (0.4)	3.7 (1.3)	4.3 (3.1)	13.3 (7.5)
Stomach ulcer, enteritis, colitis (digestive)	7.9 (1.0)	6.1 (2.5)	15.4 (4.4)	8.8 (5.1)	2.6 (0.6)	7.9 (2.2)	5.6 (3.3)	19.4 (11.0)
Urinary problems	4.3 (0.7)	0.5 (0.4)	7.2 (3.3)	0.0	2.2 (0.6)	6.5 (1.8)	6.5 (3.4)	0.0
Migraines or headaches	19.1 (1.4)	18.4 (4.4)	26.4 (5.9)	32.0 (10.2)	7.2 (1.0)	15.5 (2.9)	6.5 (3.3)	32.4 (12.5)
Asthma	8.6 (1.0)	13.1 (3.6)	19.2 (4.7)	24.5 (8.4)	6.5 (1.0)	4.2 (1.6)	9.0 (4.1)	21.1 (11.4)
Arthritis	21.4 (1.4)	33.5 (5.0)	17.7 (4.1)	19.7 (7.5)	15.7 (1.3)	14.5 (2.5)	17.2 (6.2)	18.1 (8.4)
Back problems	14.4 (1.2)	23.3 (4.3)	26.5 (5.2)	37.0 (10.4)	14.4 (1.3)	11.6 (2.5)	19.7 (5.8)	32.7 (12.0)
Chronic pain ^b	11.6 (1.1)	18.5 (3.8)	18.5 (4.4)	24.5 (8.1)	9.3 (1.1)	11.8 (2.4)	11.9 (4.6)	12.0 (6.8)
Chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia	4.7 (0.7)	9.0 (3.0)	12.4 (3.8)	6.4 (3.9)	2.8 (0.7)	8.0 (2.2)	3.7 (2.1)	15.5 (10.7)
HIV infection	0.0	0.0	2.9 (2.2)	0.0	0.0	22.5 (3.2)	6.8 (3.8)	7.7 (5.4)
AIDS/HIV illness	0.0	0.0	0.9 (0.9)	0.0	0.0	13.1 (2.6)	6.5 (3.8)	0.0
At least 1 condition reported other than AIDS/HIV illness	57.0 (1.8)	65.8 (5.3)	65.8 (5.7)	63.2 (9.9)	46.4 (1.9)	54.0 (3.8)	54.8 (7.2)	52.5 (12.5)
Health status indicators								
Health status rated fair or poor	16.7 (1.4)	8.2 (2.3)	19.1 (5.3)	10.3 (5.2)	15.1 (1.5)	16.9 (2.9)	16.5 (6.2)	30.7 (11.9)
SF-12 Physical Health Component Score < 50	47.8 (1.8)	54.3 (5.4)	57.6 (6.0)	53.0 (10.2)	38.7 (1.9)	42.7 (3.8)	49.3 (7.9)	60.0 (12.3)
Disability status								
Reports functional health limitation	21.0 (1.4)	30.5 (4.8)	37.6 (5.7)	21.1 (7.3)	18.9 (1.5)	23.5 (3.2)	30.5 (7.2)	30.6 (11.3)
Major physical disability	2.8 (0.6)	1.7 (1.0)	0.7 (0.5)	0.0	3.4 (0.7)	1.9 (0.7)	4.2 (3.0)	6.4 (4.7)
Receives disability income	5.1 (0.8)	12.6 (3.5)	12.4 (3.7)	5.0 (3.6)	6.4 (0.9)	11.2 (2.4)	11.2 (4.5)	9.0 (6.4)

Note. SF-12 = Short Form-12 Health Survey. Unweighted sample size was 867 exclusively heterosexual women, 152 lesbians, 116 bisexual women, 35 homosexually experienced heterosexual women, 753 exclusively heterosexual men, 263 gay men, 63 bisexual men, and 23 homosexually experienced heterosexual men.

^aExcludes skin cancer.

^bExcludes back pain.

(mean=2.1; SE=0.7) compared with gay men (mean=1.2; SE=0.1), bisexual men (mean=1.1; SE=0.2), and exclusively heterosexual men (mean=0.9; SE=0.1). However, statistical comparisons that were adjusted for demographic confounding did not achieve statistical significance. Both gay men and homosexually experienced heterosexual men were more likely than exclusively heterosexual men to report several health conditions (Table 3). Specifically, gay men were significantly more likely than exclusively heterosexual men to report digestive problems,

urinary problems, migraines or headaches, and chronic fatigue syndrome. Additionally, homosexually experienced heterosexual men were more likely than exclusively heterosexual men to report heart disease, liver disease, digestive problems, migraines or headaches, asthma, back problems, and chronic fatigue syndrome.

Although HIV infection was rarely reported by respondents (1.2% of the weighted sample), approximately 17.3% of gay, bisexual, or homosexually experienced heterosexual men reported they were HIV-positive,

with about half of these men also reporting symptomatic HIV disease. After excluding men who were HIV-positive from analyses, gay men were still more likely than exclusively heterosexual men to report migraines or headaches (adjusted OR=2.16; 95% CI=1.02, 4.57), but differences in reports of urinary problems, digestive problems, and chronic fatigue syndrome were statistically nonsignificant. By contrast, homosexually experienced heterosexual men remained more likely than exclusively heterosexual men to report prevalent liver disease (adjusted

TABLE 3—Partial Results of Multivariate Logistic Regression Analyses for Self-Reported Health Conditions, Health Status, and Disability, by Gender and Sexual Orientation: California Quality of Life Survey, 2004–2005

Health markers	Women ^a			Men ^a		
	Gay/Lesbian, Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Bisexual, Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Homosexually Experienced Heterosexual, Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Gay, Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Bisexual, Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Homosexually Experienced Heterosexual, Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Current conditions						
Heart disease	1.00 (0.16, 6.35)	0.41 (0.01, 17.87)	... ^b	1.23 (0.38, 3.98)	1.04 (0.10, 10.24)	6.28 (1.29, 30.60)
Hypertension	0.91 (0.35, 2.34)	0.88 (0.23, 3.33)	0.74 (0.17, 3.22)	1.55 (0.86, 2.79)	0.82 (0.26, 2.61)	1.68 (0.56, 5.04)
Cancer diagnosed past 3 years ^c	0.76 (0.09, 6.48)	... ^b	... ^b	0.58 (0.06, 5.84)	... ^b	4.18 (0.44, 39.36)
Diabetes	0.41 (0.06, 2.95)	0.27 (0.02, 4.80)	... ^b	0.97 (0.39, 2.39)	0.76 (0.14, 4.27)	2.04 (0.52, 7.98)
Liver disease	2.46 (0.24, 24.80)	2.20 (0.08, 62.76)	... ^b	3.65 (0.92, 14.46)	3.00 (0.39, 22.85)	16.14 (3.48, 74.85)
Stomach ulcer, enteritis, colitis (digestive)	0.96 (0.28, 3.29)	2.77 (1.06, 7.22)	0.98 (0.25, 3.82)	3.60 (1.41, 9.20)	2.52 (0.44, 14.31)	7.40 (2.29, 23.94)
Urinary problems	0.13 (0.02, 8.27)	2.03 (0.55, 7.46)	... ^b	3.93 (1.39, 11.12)	3.76 (0.72, 19.57)	... ^b
Migraines or headaches	1.22 (0.57, 2.62)	1.75 (0.82, 3.74)	1.94 (0.84, 4.47)	2.74 (1.43, 5.23)	0.85 (0.19, 3.90)	6.35 (2.51, 16.04)
Asthma	1.38 (0.57, 3.31)	2.00 (0.85, 4.70)	2.88 (1.15, 7.19)	0.60 (0.21, 1.70)	1.13 (0.29, 4.46)	3.45 (1.15, 10.29)
Arthritis	2.02 (1.00, 4.08)	1.40 (0.55, 3.60)	0.98 (0.34, 2.84)	0.79 (0.41, 1.52)	1.08 (0.37, 3.13)	1.14 (0.37, 3.57)
Back problems	1.66 (0.80, 3.43)	2.39 (1.10, 5.20)	3.05 (1.32, 7.04)	0.76 (0.39, 1.49)	1.22 (0.46, 3.25)	2.95 (1.15, 7.56)
Chronic pain ^d	1.48 (0.68, 3.23)	1.74 (0.73, 4.15)	2.15 (0.85, 5.42)	1.46 (0.73, 2.94)	1.19 (0.36, 3.92)	1.32 (0.35, 4.94)
Chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia	1.92 (0.66, 5.61)	3.30 (1.14, 9.55)	1.18 (0.24, 5.77)	3.20 (1.24, 8.24)	1.62 (0.20, 12.89)	6.33 (1.79, 22.46)
At least 1 condition reported except AIDS/HIV illness	1.33 (0.70, 2.56)	1.82 (0.90, 3.85)	1.23 (0.54, 2.86)	1.43 (0.90, 2.27)	1.41 (0.63, 3.12)	1.23 (0.51, 2.94)
Health status indicators						
Health status rated fair or poor	0.69 (0.23, 2.09)	2.00 (0.79, 5.08)	0.48 (0.13, 1.83)	1.47 (0.78, 2.76)	1.07 (0.36, 3.18)	2.62 (0.95, 7.22)
SF-12 Physical Health Component Score <50	1.72 (0.92, 3.20)	2.14 (1.07, 4.31)	1.17 (0.52, 2.67)	1.24 (0.78, 1.97)	1.39 (0.62, 3.10)	2.64 (1.06, 6.55)
Disability status						
Reports functional health limitation	1.53 (0.79, 2.98)	2.46 (1.21, 5.00)	0.84 (0.32, 2.33)	1.30 (0.75, 2.26)	1.72 (0.71, 4.17)	1.82 (0.68, 4.86)
Major physical disability	0.77 (0.08, 7.10)	0.24 (0.01, 10.29)	... ^b	0.52 (0.11, 2.39)	0.97 (0.14, 6.65)	1.52 (0.26, 9.02)
Receives disability income	2.88 (1.11, 8.14)	2.97 (1.02, 8.61)	0.76 (0.13, 4.50)	2.13 (0.97, 4.68)	1.61 (0.43, 5.99)	1.13 (0.24, 5.34)

Note. OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; SF-12 = Short Form-12 Health Survey. For total sample, N = 2272. Logistic regression analyses that evaluated the effects of sexual orientation were conducted separately by gender. Where a group reported zero prevalence for individual health conditions or major physical disability, the entire group was excluded from analyses. ORs and 95% CIs were adjusted for age, educational attainment, race/ethnicity, relationship status, US nativity, and family income.

^aFor each sexual orientation category, exclusive heterosexuals were the reference category.

^bParameter not estimated.

^cExcludes skin cancer.

^dExcludes back pain.

OR = 14.00; 95% CI = 2.56, 76.48), digestive problems (adjusted OR = 9.05; 95% CI = 2.71, 30.21), migraines or headaches (adjusted OR = 7.54; 95% CI = 2.91, 19.54), heart disease (adjusted OR = 6.78; 95% CI = 1.36, 33.75), asthma (adjusted OR = 4.42; 95% CI = 1.45, 13.45), and chronic fatigue syndrome (adjusted

OR = 4.84; 95% CI = 1.16, 20.30), but they were not more likely to report back problems.

Current Health Status

Overall, few respondents reported impaired health (Table 2). Nevertheless, bisexual women were more likely than exclusively heterosexual women to report a functional

health limitation and poorer physical health (as indexed by the SF-12). Lesbians and bisexual women were more likely than exclusively heterosexual women to report they were receiving disability income. Among men, no significant differences were observed in overall ratings of health or disability by sexual orientation, except that homosexually

experienced heterosexual men reported poorer overall physical health compared with exclusively heterosexual men. After we restricted analyses to men who did not report prevalent HIV infection, the difference in SF-12 scores between homosexually experienced heterosexual men and exclusively heterosexual men was not significant.

Psychological Distress and Health Indicators

As predicted, sexual orientation was associated with psychological distress. Among women, bisexual women reported the highest levels of distress (mean=10.5, SE=1.1) compared with homosexually experienced heterosexual women (mean=7.7; SE=1.1), lesbians (mean=7.2; SE=0.7), and exclusively heterosexual women (mean=6.1; SE=0.2). After we adjusted for demographic differences, women who identified as lesbian (adjusted $b=0.25$; $P<.05$) or bisexual (adjusted $b=0.60$; $P<.001$) had significantly higher levels of psychological distress compared with women who identified as exclusively heterosexual.

Among men, homosexually experienced heterosexual men reported the highest levels of distress (mean=11.5; SE=1.5) compared with bisexual men (mean=7.0; SE=0.9), gay men (mean=6.4; SE=0.5), and exclusively heterosexual men (mean=5.0; SE=0.2). Both gay men (adjusted $b=0.27$; $P<.01$) and homosexually experienced heterosexual men (adjusted $b=1.01$; $P<.001$) reported significantly higher levels of psychological distress compared with exclusively heterosexual men after we adjusted for confounding. When we restricted the sample to men who did not report HIV infection, the difference somewhat attenuated for gay men (adjusted $b=0.15$; $P=.06$) but not for homosexually experienced heterosexual men (adjusted $b=1.05$; $P<.001$).

When levels of psychological distress were treated as a confounder, we observed no significant sexual orientation–related differences among women in reports of health conditions, physical health status, and disability, with 2 exceptions: homosexually experienced heterosexual women remained more likely than exclusively heterosexual women to report asthma (adjusted OR=2.73; 95% CI=1.09, 6.86) and back problems (adjusted OR=2.73;

95% CI=1.14, 6.57). By contrast, similar adjustment for psychological distress among men reduced few health-related differences. Gay men remained more likely than exclusively heterosexual men to report digestive problems (adjusted OR=3.13; 95% CI=1.22, 8.02), urinary problems (adjusted OR=3.60; 95% CI=1.28, 10.16), migraines or headaches (adjusted OR=2.29; 95% CI=1.18, 4.42), and chronic fatigue syndrome (adjusted OR=2.91; 95% CI=1.08, 7.81).

Although the odds ratios that evaluated differences between homosexually experienced heterosexual men and exclusively heterosexual men in reports of asthma, back problems, and chronic fatigue syndrome were statistically nonsignificant, homosexually experienced heterosexual men remained more likely than exclusively heterosexual men to report heart disease (adjusted OR=5.92; 95% CI=1.18, 29.75), liver disease (adjusted OR=11.05; 95% CI=2.24, 54.67), digestive problems (adjusted OR=4.38; 95% CI=1.33, 14.44), and migraines or headaches (adjusted OR=3.35; 95% CI=1.30, 8.63). Restricting comparisons to men who did not report HIV infection demonstrated no significant differences between gay men and exclusively heterosexual men, but it had little effect on observed differences between homosexually experienced heterosexual men and exclusively heterosexual men. Specifically, the former group was still more likely to report heart disease (adjusted OR=6.57; 95% CI=1.27, 33.90), liver disease (adjusted OR=9.36; 95% CI=1.58, 55.25), digestive problems (adjusted OR=5.45; 95% CI=1.59, 18.67), and migraines or headaches (adjusted OR=4.03; 95% CI=1.52, 10.67).

DISCUSSION

Although some associations between sexual orientation and health have long been recognized, such as high rates of STIs among homosexually active men^{55,56} other possible associations have been greatly understudied.^{33,57} To that end, our findings underscore 3 key points. First, although the majority of individuals who were classified as a sexual orientation minority reported being in good physical and mental health, minority sexual orientation seems to be associated with some

elevation in risk for common health conditions and health limitations. Among women, greater health complaints were evident primarily among bisexual women and homosexually experienced heterosexual women; among men, homosexually experienced heterosexual men and gay men had higher levels of health problems. As anticipated, prevalent HIV infection among gay men was a critical factor for this greater morbidity. When men who reported prevalent HIV infection were excluded from our analyses, many of the health differences between gay men and exclusively heterosexual men disappeared. Thus, it appears to be HIV infection rather than sexual orientation that increases health risks among gay-identified men. Nevertheless, because approximately one fifth of the gay men in this study reported prevalent HIV infection, our results highlight the ongoing need for models of general health care for homosexually active men that are cognizant of how HIV infection shapes general health risks among gay men.

Second, consistent with previous studies,^{6,58} we observed elevated levels of psychological distress among individuals who identified as gay, lesbian, or bisexual or who reported same-gender sexual histories compared with exclusively heterosexual individuals. Although explicating the reasons for this distress were beyond the scope of our study, when psychological distress was treated as a confounder of associations between sexual orientation and health, differences associated with sexual orientation among lesbians and bisexual women nearly disappeared. A similar effect was observed among gay men who did not report HIV infection. Thus, our findings suggest that higher levels of psychological distress in minority sexual orientation populations may have harmful health effects on some individuals.

Third, health complaints were not uniformly distributed among individuals with minority sexual orientations. For example, bisexual men in our study did not differ from exclusively heterosexual men in their reports of physical health or disability. By contrast, homosexually experienced heterosexual men had a variety of health complaints that seemed unrelated to the effects of known HIV infection or psychological distress. Because this latter group represented relatively few respondents in our sample, replication of these

findings is critical before it is concluded that these men truly represent a higher-risk group.

There are several limitations to our study. First, the California Quality of Life Survey sample was recruited by contacting anonymous 2003 CHIS respondents with a telephone call. Loss to follow-back was associated with younger age; thus, for all respondents, our estimates of rates of health conditions and disability may have been biased upward. Second, because the presence of medical conditions was determined by self-report, it is likely that some men were HIV-infected but were not aware of their infection.⁵⁹ Third, our treatment of psychological distress as a confounder was predicated on the assumption that distress increases the risk for—but is itself not primarily caused by—ill health. To the extent that this assumption is incorrect, then the association between sexual orientation and ill health is underestimated when levels of distress are included in the models.

Despite these limitations, our findings contribute to the growing body of work that is examining the ways in which sexual orientation might be an underrecognized risk factor for differences in health and well-being. Our findings indicate that minority sexual orientation alone is not associated with poorer physical health.³³ Instead, we found a predictable harmful effect of HIV infection on gay men's health and the possibility that well-documented higher levels of psychological distress among lesbians, bisexual women, and gay men might harm physical well-being. We also observed that those individuals who identified as heterosexual but had positive histories of same-gender sexual partners experienced a somewhat greater burden of physical health complaints that were not accounted for by HIV status or psychological distress. This latter group underscores the complexity of positing a simple association between sexual orientation and health. Clarification of the ways in which sexual orientation is associated with health outcomes, and the mechanisms by which this occurs, are critical for developing appropriate and efficacious health interventions for lesbians, gay men, bisexual individuals, and homosexually experienced heterosexual persons. ■

About the Authors

Both authors are with the Center for Research, Education, Training and Strategic Communications on Minority

Health Disparities, University of California, Los Angeles. Susan D. Cochran is also with the Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, and the Department of Statistics, University of California, Los Angeles. Vickie M. Mays is with the Department of Psychology and the Department of Health Services, School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles.

Requests for reprints should be sent to Susan D. Cochran, PhD, MS, Dept of Epidemiology, UCLA School of Public Health, Box 951772, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772 (e-mail: cochran@ucla.edu).

This article was accepted August 14, 2006.

Contributors

S.D. Cochran originated the study, conducted the analyses, and wrote an initial draft of the article. Both authors developed the California Quality of Life Survey, collected data, originated ideas, interpreted findings, and edited drafts of the article.

Acknowledgments

Financial support was obtained from the National Institute of Mental Health (MH 61 774), the National Institute of Drug Abuse (DA 15 539), and the National Center for Minority Health and Health Disparities (MD 000508).

Human Participant Protection

This research was approved by the University of California, Los Angeles, institutional review board.

References

- High-risk sexual behavior by HIV-positive men who have sex with men—16 sites, United States, 2000–2002. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2004; 53:891–894.
- Cates Jr W. Estimates of the incidence and prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases in the United States. American Social Health Association Panel. *Sex Transm Dis.* 1999;26(Suppl4):S2–S7.
- Cochran SD, Mays VM. Relation between psychiatric syndromes and behaviorally defined sexual orientation in a sample of the US population. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2000;151:516–523.
- Cochran SD, Mays VM. Lifetime prevalence of suicide symptoms and affective disorders among men reporting same-sex sexual partners: results from NHANES III. *Am J Public Health.* 2000;90:573–578.
- Gilman SE, Cochran SD, Mays VM, Hughes M, Ostrow D, Kessler RC. Risk of psychiatric disorders among individuals reporting same-sex sexual partners in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Am J Public Health.* 2001;91:933–939.
- Cochran SD, Mays VM, Sullivan JG. Prevalence of mental disorders, psychological distress, and mental health services use among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2003;71:53–61.
- Mills TC, Paul J, Stall R, et al. Distress and depression in men who have sex with men: the Urban Men's Health Study. *Am J Psychiatry.* 2004;161:278–285.
- Paul JP, Catania J, Pollack L, et al. Suicide attempts among gay and bisexual men: lifetime prevalence and antecedents. *Am J Public Health.* 2002;92:1338–1345.
- Sandfort TG, de Graaf R, Bijl RV, Schnabel P. Same-sex sexual behavior and psychiatric disorders: findings from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2001;58:85–91.
- Sandfort TG, de Graaf R, Bijl RV. Same-sex sexuality and quality of life: findings from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study. *Arch Sex Behavior.* 2003;32:15–22.
- Remafedi G, French S, Story M, Resnick MD, Blum R. The relationship between suicide risk and sexual orientation: results of a population-based study. *Am J Public Health.* 1998;88:57–60.
- Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Ridder EM, Beautrais AL. Sexual orientation and mental health in a birth cohort of young adults. *Psychol Med.* 2005;35:971–981.
- Fergusson DM, Horwood LJ, Beautrais AL. Is sexual orientation related to mental health problems and suicidality in young people? *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1999; 56:876–880.
- Cochran SD, Keenan C, Schober C, Mays VM. Estimates of alcohol use and clinical treatment needs among homosexually active men and women in the US population. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2000;68:1062–1071.
- Burgard SA, Cochran SD, Mays VM. Alcohol and tobacco use patterns among heterosexually and homosexually experienced California women. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2005;7:61–70.
- Drabble L, Midanik LT, Trocki K. Reports of alcohol consumption and alcohol-related problems among homosexual, bisexual and heterosexual respondents: results from the 2000 National Alcohol Survey. *J Stud Alcohol.* 2005;66:111–120.
- Trocki KF, Drabble L, Midanik L. Use of heavier drinking contexts among heterosexuals, homosexuals and bisexuals: results from a National Household Probability Survey. *J Stud Alcohol.* 2005;66:105–110.
- Stall R, Paul JP, Greenwood G, et al. Alcohol use, drug use and alcohol-related problems among men who have sex with men: the Urban Men's Health Study. *Addiction.* 2001;96:1589–1601.
- Cochran SD, Ackerman D, Mays VM, Ross M. Patterns of drug use among homosexually active men and women in the 1996 NHSDA. *Addiction.* 2004;99:989–998.
- Greenwood GL, White EW, Page-Shafer K, et al. Correlates of heavy substance use among young gay and bisexual men: the San Francisco Young Men's Health Study. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2001;61:105–112.
- Russell ST, Driscoll AK, Truong N. Adolescent same-sex romantic attractions and relationships: implications for substance use and abuse. *Am J Public Health.* 2002;92:198–202.
- Cochran SD, Mays VM, Bowen D, et al. Cancer-related risk indicators and preventive screening behaviors among lesbian and bisexual women. *Am J Public Health.* 2001;91:591–597.
- Diamant AL, Wold C. Sexual orientation and variation in physical and mental health status among women. *J Womens Health.* 2003;12:41–49.
- Diamant AL, Wold C, Spritzer K, Gelberg L. Health behaviors, health status, and access to and use of health care: a population-based study of lesbian,

- bisexual, and heterosexual women. *Arch Fam Med*. 2000;9:1043–1051.
25. Institute of Medicine. *Lesbian Health: Current Assessment and Directions for the Future*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1999.
26. Case P, Austin SB, Hunter DJ, et al. Sexual orientation, health risk factors, and physical functioning in the Nurses' Health Study II. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2004;13:1033–1047.
27. Tang H, Greenwood GL, Cowling DW, Lloyd JC, Roeseler AG, Bal DG. Cigarette smoking among lesbians, gays, and bisexuals: how serious a problem? (United States). *Cancer Causes Control*. 2004;15:797–803.
28. Austin SB, Ziyadeh N, Fisher LB, Kahn JA, Colditz GA, Frazier AL. Sexual orientation and tobacco use in a cohort study of US adolescent girls and boys. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2004;158:317–322.
29. D'Augelli AR. High tobacco use among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth: mounting evidence about a hidden population's health risk behavior. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2004;158:309–310.
30. Gruskin EP, Hart S, Gordon N, Ackerson L. Patterns of cigarette smoking and alcohol use among lesbians and bisexual women enrolled in a large health maintenance organization. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91:976–979.
31. Yancey AK, Cochran SD, Corliss HL, Mays VM. Correlates of overweight and obesity among lesbian and bisexual women. *Prev Med*. 2003;36:676–683.
32. Aaron DJ, Markovic N, Danielson ME, Honnold JA, Janosky JE, Schmidt NJ. Behavioral risk factors for disease and preventive health practices among lesbians. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91:972–975.
33. Sandfort TG, Bakker F, Schellevis FG, Vanwesenbeeck I. Sexual orientation and mental and physical health status: findings from a dutch population survey. *Am J Public Health*. 2006;96:1119–1125.
34. Cochran SD, Mays VM. Depressive distress among homosexually active African American men and women. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1994;151:524–529.
35. Tylee A, Gandhi P. The importance of somatic symptoms in depression in primary care. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*. 2005;7:167–176.
36. Koopmans GT, Lamers LM. Chronic conditions, psychological distress and the use of psychoactive medications. *J Psychosom Res*. 2000;48:115–123.
37. McDonough P, Walters V. Gender and health: reassessing patterns and explanations. *Soc Sci Med*. 2001;52:547–559.
38. Koopmans GT, Lamers LM. Assessing the construct validity of three indicators of psychological distress in relation to perceived health and physical illness. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2005;40:1012–1018.
39. Rucci P, Gherardi S, Tansella M, et al. Subthreshold psychiatric disorders in primary care: prevalence and associated characteristics. *J Affect Disord*. 2003;76:171–81.
40. Barsky AJ, Cleary PD, Klerman GL. Determinants of perceived health status of medical outpatients. *Soc Sci Med*. 1992;34:1147–1154.
41. Linton SJ. Do psychological factors increase the risk for back pain in the general population in both a cross-sectional and prospective analysis? *Eur J Pain*. 2005;9:355–361.
42. Mustard CA, Kalcevic C, Frank JW, Boyle M. Childhood and early adult predictors of risk of incident back pain: Ontario Child Health Study 2001 follow-up. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005;162:779–786.
43. Dunlop DD, Manheim LM, Song J, Lyons JS, Chang RW. Incidence of disability among preretirement adults: the impact of depression. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95:2003–2008.
44. Mays VM, Cochran SD. Mental health correlates of perceived discrimination among lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in the United States. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91:1869–1876.
45. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychol Bull*. 2003;129:674–697.
46. American Association for Public Opinion Research. Standard definitions: final dispositions of case codes and outcome rates for surveys. Available at: http://www.aapor.org/pdfs/standarddefs_4.pdf. Accessed May 18, 2006.
47. Simon PA, Wold CM, Cousineau MR, Fielding JE. Meeting the data needs of a local health department: the Los Angeles County Health Survey. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91:1950–1952.
48. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. *2004 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Summary Data Quality Report*. Atlanta, Ga: Centers for Disease Control; 2005.
49. Ware Jr J, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. *Med Care*. 1996;34:220–233.
50. Kessler RC, Andrews G, Colpe LJ, et al. Short screening scales to monitor population prevalences and trends in non-specific psychological distress. *Psychol Med*. 2002;32:959–976.
51. SAS Institute. *SAS 9.1*. Cary, NC: SAS Publishing; 2004.
52. Butler AC. Trends in same-gender sexual partnering, 1988–1998. *J Sex Res*. 2000;37:333–343.
53. Chu SY, Buehler JW, Fleming PL, Berkelman RL. Epidemiology of reported cases of AIDS in lesbians, United States 1980–89. *Am J Public Health*. 1990;80:1380–1381.
54. Rothman K, Greenland S. *Modern Epidemiology*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott-Raven Publishers; 1998.
55. Ferri RS. Issues in gay men's health. *Nurs Clin North Am*. 2004;39:403–410.
56. Ungvarski PJ, Grossman AH. Health problems of gay and bisexual men. *Nurs Clin North Am*. 1999;34:313–331.
57. King M, Nazareth I. The health of people classified as lesbian, gay and bisexual attending family practitioners in London: a controlled study. *BMC Public Health*. 2006;6:127.
58. Berg MB, Mimiaga MJ, Safren SA. Mental health concerns of HIV-infected gay and bisexual men seeking mental health services: an observational study. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2004;18:635–643.
59. Hays RB, Paul J, Ekstrand M, Kegeles SM, Stall R, Coates TJ. Actual versus perceived HIV status, sexual behaviors and predictors of unprotected sex among young gay and bisexual men who identify as HIV-negative, HIV-positive and untested. *AIDS*. 1997;11:1495–502.